

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/808,059	03/24/2004	Leonard Forbes	400.285US01 4221	
27073	7590 02/28/2006		EXAMINER	
LEFFERT JAY & POLGLAZE, P.A. P.O. BOX 581009			PIZARRO CRESPO, MARCOS D	
	JIS, MN 55458-1009		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2814	

DATE MAILED: 02/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Bu

· · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/808,059	FORBES, LEONARD			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Marcos D. Pizarro-Crespo	2814			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 01 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the following street application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notation (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.			
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension at CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened state above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compared to the property of the compared to the	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the corresponding amount of the fee. attutory period for reply originally set in the safter the mailing date of the final rejection pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, materials within two mon	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) by reduce any this of the date		
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estince a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	be filed within the time period set for but prior to the date of filing a brie nsideration and/or search (see NO	orth in 37 CFR 41.37(f, will <u>not</u> be entered	a).		
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beloe) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beau appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a 	tter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	· ———	, timely filed amendm	nent canceling		
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,2 and 4-7.		ill be entered and an	explanation of		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).		

MOWARD WEISS PRIMARY EXAMINER

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

13. Other: ___

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the newly proposed limitations to claim 1 now specifying that the gate dielectric be composed of an oxide-oxidized Al-oxide raise new issues that will require further consideration and/or search.